

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		
10/616,907	07/11/2003	Kazuhiko Maekawa	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
			240186US0 CONT	2491
22850 75	590 11/04/2004		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			EAAMINER	
			MULLIS, JEFFREY C	
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	1711	
			DATE MAILED: 11/04/2004	ı

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/616,907	MAEKAWA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jeffrey C. Mullis	1711
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. - after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply sepecified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a ly within the statutory minimum of the will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC	a reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 A</u> 2a) This action is FINAL 2b) This	action is non-final	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 8-13 and 27-36 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 8-13 and 27-36 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	
Application Papers	siocion requirement.	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	pted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the d Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	rawing(s) be held in abeyar	ice. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
The dain of declaration is objected to by the Exa	nminer. Note the attached	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). I Office Action or form PTO-152.
riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign pall All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorit application from the International Bureau (* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	have been received. have been received in Ap y documents have been in	oplication No received in this National Stage
tachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Su	mmary (PTO-413)
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date ユロルトゥラ	Paper No(s). 5) Notice of Inf. 6) Other:	/Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)
alent and Trademark Office L-326 (Rev. 1-04)	n Summary	•* • **********************************

Serial No. 10/616,907 Art Unit

1711

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 8, 9 and 30-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(a) as being anticipated by Maekawa et al. (WO 01/07518, cited by applicants).

Maekawa et al. disclose an aqueous dispersion containing a block copolymer having a block "A" composed of olefinic units, a block "B" composed of carboxyl groups in combination with at least 0.05 equivalents of base. Note Example 3 for the use of polypropylene in the dispersion. Although the word "tackifier"

Serial No. 10/616,907

Art Unit 1711

does not appear in the patent, the block copolymer is disclosed to have adhesive qualities and could therefore reasonably be construed as a tackifier. However applicants' claims 11-13 recite "further comprises a tackifier" and therefore clearly claims 11-13 require additional materials as the tackifier. Therefore claims 11-13 are allowable over Maekawa et al.

Applicant cannot rely upon the foreign priority papers to overcome this rejection because a certified translation of said

papers has not been made of record. See MPEP § 201.15.

The non-statutory double patenting rejection, whether of the obviousness-type or non-obviousness-type, is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent. In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); and In re Goodman, 29 USPQ 2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(b) and (c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a non-statutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.78(d).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 8-13 and 27-36 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-25 of U.S. Patent No. 6,610,774. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not

Serial No. 10/616,907 Art Unit 1711

patentably distinct from each other because the instant claims do not exclude polyurethane as a component as recited by the patent claims and the molar percentages of backbone units overlap in the application and patent claims.

It is noted that Maekawa et al. (U.S. 6,451,901) is the corresponding U.S. patent for the above Maekawa WO '518, relied upon above and the disclosures of the two patents are therefore presumed to be substantially the same.

Anthony et al. (U.S. 6,437,040), cited of interest suggests applicants' block copolymers but fails to suggest applicants' component ii.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey Mullis whose telephone number is (571) 272-1075. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 to 6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck, can be reached on (571) 272-1078. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-0994.

Art Unit 1711

J. Mullis:cdc

October 28, 2004

Jeffrey Mullis Primary Examiner Art Unit 1711